

Client Satisfaction Measurement Report 2023 (1st Edition)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| l. | Overview | 1 |
|------|------------------------------------|---|
| II. | Scope | 1 |
| III. | Methodology | 2 |
| IV. | Data and Interpretation | 4 |
| V. | Results of the Agency Action Plan | 8 |
| VI. | Continuous Agency Improvement Plan | 8 |
| | | |
| Anne | ex A. Survey Questionnaire Used | 9 |



I. Overview:

The Mangaldan Water District is a Government-Owned and Controlled Corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of Presidential Decree No. 198, as amended, to provide water supply that is adequate, potable, safe and affordable to the people of Mangaldan and its environs.

The Anti-Red Tape Authority (ARTA) developed the harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) for agencies as an after-service availment survey to assess the overall satisfaction and perception of clients on the government service they availed. This will provide relevant feedback to the agency on the quality of service they are providing and shall be incorporated in the agency's Report Card Survey (RCS) under the Overall Survey Results. Measuring client satisfaction will be a huge help in continually improving and enhancing government service promise towards a more meaningful client-centered Citizen's Charter.

II. Scope:

The Mangaldan Water District conducted the survey throughout the year from January 2023 to December 2023 within the Municipality of Mangaldan and those concessionaires and/or stakeholders who availed any service from the Agency.

The services that were surveyed by the Mangaldan Water District were the following:

| External Services | Responses | Total Transactions |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|
| Application for New Service Connection | 569 | 570 |
| Check/Calibrate Water Meter Due to High and Zero Consumption | 51 | 56 |
| Reconnection of Water Meter | 567 | 576 |
| Relocation of Water Meter | 1 | 1 |
| Repair of Service Lines | 1457 | 1656 |
| Request for Change Account Name | 45 | 45 |
| External Service Total | 2690 | 2904 |

| Internal Services | Responses | Total Transactions |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|
| Application for Leave | 166 | 186 |
| Request for Certificate of Employment | 1 | 2 |
| Request for Certified True Copy of Personal Record | 13 | 21 |
| Internal Service Total | 180 | 209 |

All services stipulated on our Citizen's Charter had clients in 2023. However, for the "Payment of Water Bills", we had 192,207 transactions but no client accomplished the Client Satisfaction Measurement since transaction only last for one (1) to two (2) minutes only upon arrival to the office despite efforts of the frontline personnel.

For both external and internal services, 2,870 people were able to answer the survey among a population of 3,113. This resulted in a 92.00% response rate for 2023.

III. Methodology:

The Mangaldan Water District adopted the Harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) Form from the Anti-Red Tape Authority effective January 1, 2023. It superseded the previously Customer Satisfaction Survey (CSS) form which was originally implemented by the Agency for its client feedback mechanism which was also in alignment of the Mangaldan Water District's Quality Management System ISO 9001:2015.

Monitoring of the said form is handled by the Document Control Officer (DCO), also in alignment of the Mangaldan Water District's Quality Management System ISO 9001:2015. Any revision of the form will be processed according to a step-by-step procedure.

Every after availment of service for external services (e.g. Application for New Service Connection, Check/Calibrate Water Meter Due to High or Zero Consumption, Reconnection of Water Meter, Relocation of Water Meter, Repair of Service Line), maintenance men let the consumer answer the CSM form and the same will be given back to the Public Assistance and Complaints Desk (PACD) employee in-charge along with the accomplished job order slip by the Water/Sewerage Maintenance Men.

For availment of services for Request for Change Account Name, Application for Leave, Request for Certificate of Employment, and Request for Certified True Copy of Personal Record, personnel-in-charge for said services will let the consumer/client to accomplish the CSM form and will be collected at least five (5) days after end of the month for monitoring and recording purposes by the DCO.

Also, the Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) Form is available at the paying section of the Mangaldan Water District where consumers are welcome to write their ratings, comments, reviews and other suggestions for continual improvement of the system. They can drop the accomplished document on the intended box for the Client Satisfaction Measurement form.

Monitoring of the CSM forms will be done after every end of the month by the Document Control Officer. Its results will be forwarded to Ms. Cecille A. Fabia, Utilities/Customer Service Officer A for Commercial Division for information and further analysis.

The eight (8) Service Quality Dimensions (SQD) used in this CSM are described as follows:

- 1. Responsiveness the willingness to help, assist, and provide prompt service to citizens/clients;
- 2. Reliability the provision of what is needed and what was promised, following the policy and standards, with zero to minimal error rate;
- 3. Access and Facilities the convenience of location, ample amenities for comfortable transactions, use of clear signages and modes of technology;
- 4. Communication the act of keeping citizens and clients informed in a language they can easily understand, as well as listening to their feedback;
- 5. Costs the satisfaction with timeliness of the billing, billing process/es, preferred methods of payment, reasonable payment period, value for money, the acceptable range of costs, and qualitative information on the cost of each service;
- 6. Integrity the assurance that there is honesty, justice, fairness, and trust in each service while dealing with the citizens/clients;
- 7. Assurance the capability of frontline staff to perform their duties, product and service knowledge, understand citizen/client needs, helpfulness, and good work relationships; and
- 8. Outcome the extent of achieving outcomes or realizing the intended benefits of government services.

The eight (8) SQDs mentioned above were scored using a 5-point Likert Scale and the survey's scoring system is seen below:

| Scale | Rating |
|-------|----------------------------|
| 5 | Strongly Agree |
| 4 | Agree |
| 3 | Neither Agree nor Disagree |
| 2 | Disagree |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree |

The Overall score for the eight (8) SQDs were computed based on the following formula:

$$Overall\ Score = \frac{Number\ of\ \textbf{Strongly}\ \textbf{Agree}\ answers + Number\ of\ \textbf{Agree}\ answers}{Total\ Number\ of\ Respondents - Number\ of\ \textbf{N/A}\ answers}$$

The interpretation of the results are as follows:

| Percentage | Rating |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Below 60.0% | Poor |
| 60.0% - 79.9% | Fair |
| 80.0% - 89.9% | Satisfactory |
| 90.0% - 94.9% | Very Satisfactory |
| 95.0% - 100% | Outstanding |



IV. Data and Interpretation:

A. Demographic Profile

Highest number of concessionaires who answered the Client Satisfaction Measurement form was the ages 50-64 which represented the 32% of the total number of responses received, with 43% of concessionaires being female. The lowest percentage of respondents who completed the CSM form (3% of total responses) were those who were 19 years of age or younger, while 17% did not specify their sex.

| D1. Age and D2. Sex | External | Internal | Overall |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1. 19 or lower | 3% | 0% | 3% |
| 2. 20 – 34 | 13% | 23% | 14% |
| 3. 35 – 49 | 31% | 33% | 31% |
| 4. 50 – 64 | 31% | 44% | 32% |
| 5. 65 or higher | 13% | 0% | 12% |
| 6. Did not specify | 9% | 0% | 8% |
| | | | |
| 1. Male | 40% | 59% | 41% |
| 2. Female | 43% | 41% | 43% |
| 3. Did not specify | 18% | 0% | 17% |

Most of the respondents resides from Region 1 which showed 75% or 2,166 concessionaires of the total responses and 25% or 704 respondents did not specify.

| D3. Region | External | Internal | Overall |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1. Region I | 74% | 100% | 75% |
| 2. Region II | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 3. Region III | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 4. Region IV-A | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 5. MIMAROPA | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 6. Region V | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 7. Region VI | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 8. Region VII | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 9. Region VIII | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 10. Region IX | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 11. Region X | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 12. Region XI | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 13. Region XII | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 14. NCR | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 15. CAR | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 16. BARMM | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 17. Did not specify | 26% | 0% | 25% |

91% or 2,620 of the total respondents where Citizens, 1% or 19 responded from Business sector, 6% or 180 came from Government, and 2% or 51 responses did not specify its customer type.

| Customer Type | External | Internal | Overall |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| D4. Citizen | 97% | 0% | 91% |
| D4. Business | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| D4. Government | 0% | 100% | 6% |
| D4. Did not specify | 2% | 0% | 2% |

B. Count of CC and SQD Results

The majority of respondents, or 92%, are aware of what a Citizen's Charter is and have seen the one located in front of the Teller's Office. However, 4% of respondents were unaware of the existence of the CC and were only aware of it after seeing the one posted at our office.

Meanwhile, 93% said that MAWAD's Citizen's Charter is easy to see, 7% noted that it is somewhat easy to see.

Finally, 92% of all respondents felt that the Citizen's Charter had significantly helped them in using the services they had availed, and 8% claimed that it had somewhat helped.

| Citizen's Charter Answers | Responses | Percentage |
|---|-----------|------------|
| CC1. Which of the following best describes your awareness of a CC? | | 3 |
| 1. I know what a CC is and I saw this office's CC. | 2638 | 92% |
| 2. I know what a CC is but I did NOT see this office's CC. | 114 | 4% |
| 3. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC. | 118 | 4% |
| 4. I do not know what a CC is and I did not see one in this office. | 0 | 0% |
| | | |
| CC2. If aware of CC (answered 1-3 in CC1), would you say that the | | |
| CC of this office was? | | |
| 1. Easy to see | 2,657 | 93% |
| 2. Somewhat easy to see | 213 | 7% |
| 3. Difficult to see | 0 | 0% |
| 4. Not visible at all | 0 | 0% |
| 5. N/A | 0 | 0% |
| | | |
| CC3. If aware of CC, how much did the CC help you in your | | |
| transaction? | | |
| 1. Helped very much | 2,641 | 92% |
| 2. Somewhat helped | 229 | 8% |
| 3. Did not help | 0 | 0% |
| 4. N/A | 0 | 0% |

Measurement of client satisfaction presented as SQD0 showed that 2,782 concessionaires who availed of the services of the Mangaldan Water District strongly agrees, with an overall rating of 99.93% interpreted as "Outstanding". This shows that services availed by our concessionaires were given utmost priority.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | N/A | Total Responses | Overall |
|------|-------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|--------------------|---------|
| SQD0 | 2,782 | 86 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,870 | 99.93% |

Among the eight (8) Standard Quality Dimensions (SQDs), Access and Facilities (SQD3) and Assurance (SQD7) garnered 100% Overall Score or Outstanding. Communication (SQD4) got 99.97% or Outstanding. While Responsiveness (SQD1), Reliability (SQD2), Costs (SQD5), Integrity (SQD6) and Outcome (SQD8) showed 99.93% overall score or Outstanding.

Overall score for the eight (8) Service Quality Dimensions was 99.95%, interpreted as "Outstanding".

| Service Quality Dimensions (SQD) | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | N/A | Total Responses | Overall |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|--------------------|---------|
| Responsiveness | 2775 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 99.93% |
| Reliability | 2786 | 82 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 99.93% |
| Access and Facilities | 2785 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 100% |
| Communication | 2788 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 99.97% |
| Costs | 2667 | 86 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 2870 | 99.93% |
| Integrity | 2787 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 99.93% |
| Assurance | 2788 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 100% |
| Outcome | 2779 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2870 | 99.93% |
| Overall | 22155 | 679 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 22960 | 99.95% |



C. Overall Score per Service

Based on the overall rating per service, respondents were very satisfied with the services they availed from the Mangaldan Water District that showed "outstanding" rating for every service, be it external or internal. No service garnered a rating below 95%.

| External Services | Overall Rating |
|--|----------------|
| Application for New Service Connection | 100% |
| Check/Calibrate Water Meter Due to High and Zero Consumption | 100% |
| Reconnection of Water Meter | 100% |
| Relocation of Water Meter | 100% |
| Repair of Service Lines | 99.94% |
| Request for Change Account Name | 100% |
| External Service Total | 99.97% |
| Internal Services | |
| Application for Leave | 99.75% |
| Request for Certificate of Employment | 100% |
| Request for Certified True Copy of Personal Record | 100% |
| Internal Service Total | 99.77% |
| OVERALL TOTAL | 99.96% |

Client Satisfaction Measurement resulted to an overall rating of **99.96**% interpreted as "outstanding" for the services rendered for CY 2023.



V. Results of the Agency Action Plan reported for FY 2022:

Agency's action plan reported in the FY 2022 PBB showed no occurrence of major complaint. Thus, no action plan was required.

Also, the Quality Management System of the District also revealed zero (0) major nonconformity and zero (0) minor nonconformity from last Audit on its ISO QMS. This shows that the organization has established and maintains an effective system to ensure compliance with its policy and objectives. Similarly, the organization's management system complies with, adequately maintains and implements the requirements of the standard.

VI. Continuous Agency Improvement Plan for FY 2024:

The Agency will be adopting the harmonized and standardized framework in measuring client satisfaction to ensure continuous improvement and enhancement of service promise towards a meaningful client-centered Citizen's Charter through ARTA Memorandum Circular No. 2022-05, series of 2022 entitled "Guidelines on the Implementation of the Harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement" dated 20 September 2022 and ARTA MC No. 2023-05, series of 2023 which amends some sections dated 08 June 2023.

The output and results of the Client Satisfaction Measurement shall be incorporated in the agency's Report Card Survey (RCS) under the Overall Survey Results.

Where applicable, actions taken or best practices resulting from the Customer Satisfaction Measurement shall also be integrated in the Quality Management System including possible revision of the MAWAD policies and procedures.

Results of customer perception monitoring including actions taken shall be presented in the Management Review done annually after the Internal Quality Audit of the Agency.

Likewise, the scope of our Quality Management System ISO 9001:2015 is already Standardization of Frontline Services however, we will be maintaining all the processes we started together with the monitoring.



ANNEX A. Survey Questionnaire/s Used

| | MANGA | LDAN V | /ATER I | DISTRICT | PSA | Approval No.: AR | TA-2242-3 |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | - 1079. S | US SERV | | | | | |
| This Cli | ent Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) | | | | wornmon | t offices \ | Vour foodback |
| on your | recently concluded transaction will he confidential and you always have the | lp this offic | e provide a | better service. | | | |
| Client t | ype: □ Citizen □ Business □ Governme | nt (Employee | or another a | gency) | | | |
| Date: _ | Sex: □ Male □ | Female | Age: _ | | | | |
| Region | of residence: | Service Av | /ailed: | | | | |
| is an of | JCTIONS: Check mark (✓) your an ficial document that reflects the servicessing times among others. Which of the following best describ | ces of a go | vernment | agency/office | | | |
| | □ 1. I know what a CC is and I saw this of □ 2. I know what a CC is but I did NOT se □ 3. I learned of the CC only when I saw t □ 4. I do not know what a CC is and I did | e this office's his office's C | D. | Answer 'N/A' on | CC2 and C | CC3) | |
| CC2 | If aware of CC (answered 1-3 in CC1), would you say that the CC of this office was? 1. Easy to see | | | | | | |
| | If aware of CC (answered codes 1- □ 1. Helped very much □ 3. Did □ 2. Somewhat helped □ 4. N/A JCTIONS: □ 0-8, please put a check mark (✓) or | not help | | | | | isaction? |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Strongly | Disagree | Neither Agree | Agree | Strongly | N/A Not Applicable |
| SQD0. availed | I am satisfied with the service that I | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree | Not |
| availed | | | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| SQD1. my trains SQD2. require | I. I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ements and steps based on the | | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| SQD1. my trainsquare sQD2. require informations SQD3. | I. I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| sQD1. my trai sQD2. require informa sQD3. to do fo | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ments and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my tra SQD2. require inform. SQD3. to do fo SQD4. transa SQD5. | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ments and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my tion from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my trai SQD2. require inform. SQD3. to do for SQD4. transac SQD5. my trai SQD6. | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ements and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my option from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for neaction. I feel the office was fair to everyone, or | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my tra SQD2. require SQD3. to do fu SQD4. transa. SQD5. my tra SQD6. "walan SQD7. | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ments and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my option from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for isaction. I feel the office was fair to everyone, or g palakasan", during my transaction. I was treated courteously by the staff, | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my trai SQD2. require informs SQD3. to do for SQD4. transac SQD5. my trai SQD6. "walan SQD7. and (if SQD8. | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ements and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my option from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for neaction. I feel the office was fair to everyone, or g palakasan", during my transaction. I was treated courteously by the staff, asked for help) the staff was helpful. I got what I needed from the | Disagree | Disagree | | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my trai SQD2. require informs SQD3. to do fe SQD4. transae SQD5. my trai SQD6. "walan SQD7. and (if SQD8. govern reques | I spent a reasonable amount of time for neaction. The office followed the transaction's ements and steps based on the ation provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my otion from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for neaction. I feel the office was fair to everyone, or g palakasan'', during my transaction. I was treated courteously by the staff, asked for help) the staff was helpful. | Disagree | | nor Disagree | Agree | | Not |
| availed SQD1. my trai SQD2. require informs SQD3. to do fr SQD4. transac SQD5. my trai SQD6. "walan SQD7. and (if SQD8. govern reques | I spent a reasonable amount of time for isaction. The office followed the transaction's ements and steps based on the atton provided. The steps (including payment) I needed or my transaction were easy and simple. I easily found information about my otton from the office or its website. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for isaction. I feel the office was fair to everyone, or g palakasan'', during my transaction. I was treated courteously by the staff, asked for help) the staff was helpful. I got what I needed from the ment office, or (if denied) denial of t was sufficiently explained to me. | Disagree | | nor Disagree | Agree | | Not |



Prepared by:

DEMMEE G. CARBONEL

Utilities/Customer Service Assistant A Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

Acknowledged by:

CECILLE A. FABIA

Utilities/Customer Service Officer A
Focal Person, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

LEONARDO M. DE VERA

Water/Sewerage Maintenance Head Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

BERNAPETH D. DIZON

Industrial Relations Management Officer A Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape TERRY S. MARTICIO

Executive Assistant A
Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

AMBIE JAMES M NICOLAS

Data Encoder-Controller

Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

ARABELA R. AQUINO

Utilities/Customer Service Assistant A Member, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

Checked by:

DR. MARLYN C. DE GUZMAN

Department Manager B – Administrative Vice Chairman, Committee on Anti-Red Tape

Approved by:

ENGR. MARCELO M. PETONIO

General Manager B
Chairman, Committee on Anti-Red Tape